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#### Abstract

Four species of legless anguid lizard genus Anguis have been currently recognized: A. fragilis from western and central Europe, A. colchica from eastern Europe and western Asia, A. graeca from southern Balkans, and A. cephallonica from the Peloponnese. Slow worms from the Italian Peninsula have been considered conspecific with $A$. fragilis, despite the fact that the region served as an important speciation center for European flora and fauna, and included some Pleistocene glacial refugia. We used mitochondrial and nuclear DNA sequences to investigate the systematic and phylogenetic position of the Italian slow-worm populations and morphological analyses to test for phenotypic differentiation from A. fragilis from other parts of Europe. Our phylogenetic analyses revealed that Italian slow worms form a distinct deeply differentiated mtDNA clade, which presumably diverged during or shortly after the basal radiation within the genus Anguis. In addition, the specimens assigned to this clade bear distinct haplotypes in nuclear PRLR gene and show morphological differentiation from A. fragilis. Based on the differentiation in all three independent markers, we propose to assign the Italian clade species level under the name Anguis veronensis Pollini, 1818. The newly recognized species is distributed throughout the Italian Peninsula to the Southern Alps and south-eastern France. We hypothesize that the Tertiary Alpine orogeny with subsequent vicariance might have played a role in differentiation of this species. The current genetic variability was later presumably shaped in multiple glacial refugia within the Italian Peninsula, with the first splitting event separating populations from the region of the Dolomite Mountains.


© 2013 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Due to the complex geological history and habitat diversity, the Mediterranean region encompassing the Balkan, Italian, and Iberian peninsulas played a crucial role for the origin of the biodiversity of the European fauna and its subsequent diversification. For many taxa the peninsulas represent the radiation centers, areas with the highest in-group diversity and centers of endemism (Blondel et al., 2010; Hidalgo-Galiana and Ribera, 2011). The origin of many of the oldest extant lineages of terrestrial animal groups dates back to the Oligocene, while the origin of the younger lineages could be related to the Late Oligocene-Early Miocene separa-

[^0]tion of Tethys and Paratethys with the diversification being mainly driven by subsequent vicariance during the Miocene (Oosterbroek and Arntzen, 1992). Later, in the Quaternary, climate changes had strong effect on European fauna and caused extinctions or repeated range contractions and expansions in many species or their populations. While populations survived glaciations in refugia situated primarily within the three main Mediterranean peninsulas, they expanded to the northern areas during the warmer interglacial periods and particularly after the last glaciation (e.g. Feliner, 2011; Hewitt, 1996, 1999; Taberlet et al., 1998; Weiss and Ferrand, 2007). Within vertebrates, genetic patterns of less vagile taxa, such as amphibians and reptiles, were particularly influenced by population contractions and expansions and their assemblages thus often better reflect location of glacial refugia than contemporary climate (Araújo and Pearson, 2005; Araújo et al., 2006).

Slow worms, legless lizards of the genus Anguis Linnaeus, 1758, inhabit a large territory of the Western Palearctic region including
all three southern European peninsulas (Völkl and Alfermann, 2007). They form a species complex with the highest diversity found in the Balkan Peninsula, where all four currently recognized species occur, A. cephallonica Werner, 1894, A. colchica (Nordmann, 1840), A. fragilis Linnaeus, 1758, and A. graeca Bedriaga, 1881 (Gvoždík et al., 2010; Jablonski, 2013). Anguis fragilis has been believed to be the only species of the genus distributed in the Iberian and Italian Peninsulas, although only taxonomic identity of the Spanish samples was confirmed with molecular-phylogenetic methods (Gvoždík et al., 2010). So far, no controversy has been raised regarding the taxonomic identity of the slow worms from the Italian Peninsula, although a potentially important role of this peninsula for the diversification of reptiles is suggested by the endemic occurrence of other reptile taxa. Autochthonous populations of the lacertid lizard Podarcis siculus and the skink Chalcides c. chalcides, revalidation of the snake Zamenis lineatus from southern Italy (Lenk and Wüster, 1999), and description of the Sicilian endemic pond turtle Emys trinacris (Fritz et al., 2005) could serve as examples.

The basal divergence within the slow-worm lineage pre-dates the Quaternary and was estimated to have occurred during the Late Miocene based on the molecular-evolutionary rate of the studied mitochondrial DNA fragment (Gvoždík et al., 2010). However, relatively rich fossil record indicates that the genus Anguis is very old and could be dated further back to the Eocene or Oligocene, with the oldest fossils resembling members of the genus known from the Early Eocene of France (Augé, 2003; Estes, 1983; Hecht and Hoffstetter, 1962).

Taking all this into account, we could formulate several possible hypotheses about the origin and relationships of the slow worms from the Italian Peninsula. Virtually no evidence provided so far about phenotypic differentiation and no doubts raised about taxonomic identity of a relatively common lizard allow us to hypothesize that the peninsula might have been colonized relatively recently after the Quaternary glaciations from their supposed refugia in the north-western Balkans or southern France (Gvoždík et al., 2010). Another possibility, which is not mutually exclusive with our first hypothesis, is that they could have survived glaciations in one or more refugia located within the Italian Peninsula. According to this hypothesis, the Italian populations would represent a unique evolutionary lineage presumably divergent from other slow-worm taxa. In this scenario, their origin could be dated back even to relatively distant history and pre-Quaternary processes such as vicariance related to the Tertiary Alpine orogeny, which might also have played a role in their differentiation and shaping diversity and distribution.

Here, we used phylogenetic and phylogeographic approaches employing mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) and nuclear DNA (nDNA) sequence data to find whether the slow worms from the Italian Peninsula are genetically differentiated from $A$. fragilis and other slow worms, and if so, to infer their phylogenetic relationships within the genus. Furthermore, we performed a morphological comparison of the Italian slow worms with A. fragilis from adjacent parts of Europe to study the pattern of phenotypic differentiation within this group.

## 2. Material and methods

### 2.1. Sampling

Tissue samples of slow worms from Italy $(N=14)$ and additional European localities ( $N=18$ ) were obtained mainly from road-killed individuals and museum voucher specimens (see Table 1). Oral swabs, blood droplets or miniature tail biopsies were taken from a small number of living animals. We also supplemented our data
set with sequences used in Gvoždík et al. (2010) corrected in respect to one sample of A. graeca previously erroneously allocated to Užice, Serbia, but in fact originating from southern Albania. Mitochondrial DNA sequence of another $A$. graeca specimen from Montenegro was completed by the previously missing fragment. Genetic material is listed in Table 1 and distribution of the sampling localities is depicted in Fig. 1. We used three taxa of two related Western Palearctic anguid genera (sensu Macey et al., 1999) [Hyalosaurus koellikeri Günther, 1873 and two subspecies of Pseudopus apodus (Pallas, 1775)] as outgroups in phylogenetic analyses, as well as in calculations and comparison of the genetic distances.

For morphological comparison we used 179 preserved vouchers of $A$. fragilis and Italian slow worms from several European museums (see Table 2). Slow worms were a priori grouped based on the samples distribution and species' identification obtained by genotyping individuals from the respective areas (Italian Peninsula and south-eastern France $N=86$ and $A$. fragilis from other parts of Europe $N=93$; Fig. 2, Table 1). Specimens from the areas of potential hybrid zones (see Section 3.3) were excluded from the analyses.

### 2.2. Molecular laboratory procedures

We amplified the complete mtDNA gene encoding NADH dehydrogenase subunit 2 (ND2) along with five subsequent transfer RNA genes (tRNA-Trp, tRNA-Ala, tRNA-Asn, tRNA-Cys, tRNA-Tyr) and the light-strand replication origin, which is located between tRNA-Asn and tRNA-Cys. Primers L4437n and H5934 were used for both PCR and sequencing (Gvoždík et al., 2010; Macey et al., 1997). Additional internal forward (AinND2F) and reverse (AND2inR2, AND2inRc) primers were employed for sequencing (Gvoždík et al., 2010). We also amplified and sequenced a fragment of the nuclear protein-coding gene for prolactin receptor (PRLR) with primers PRLR_f1 and PRLR_r3 (Townsend et al., 2008). As the oocyte maturation factor gene ( C -mos) is characterized by a low variation within the slow-worm complex (Gvoždík et al., 2010), only two individuals from southern Italy were amplified and sequenced for this gene with primers S77 and S78 (Lawson et al., 2005) to compare them with the other taxa. For further details on laboratory procedures see Gvoždík et al. (2010). All sequencing was performed by Macrogen Inc. (Seoul, S. Korea, http://www.macrogen.com) and sequences of each new unique haplotype have been deposited in GenBank (see Table 1 for all accession numbers).

### 2.3. Phylogenetic analyses

Sequences were aligned using Clustal W (Thompson et al., 1994) implemented in BioEdit 7.0 (Hall, 1999) and secondary structure of tRNAs were taken into account (sensu Macey et al., 1999). The complete mtDNA alignment included a 1428 bp stretch, although two positions within $t R N A-T r p$, and one within $t R N A-C y s$, respectively, were excluded from phylogenetic analyses because of unique insertions present only within the outgroups ( $P$. apodus thracius and H. koellikeri). No stop codons in ND2 were detected when sequences were translated using the vertebrate mtDNA genetic code in the program DnaSP 5.10 (Librado and Rozas, 2009). We calculated uncorrected $p$-distances in PAUP* 4.0b10 (Swofford, 2003) using all individuals and subsequently averaged manually for the taxa. Four individuals from the newly sequenced ones showed more than one heterozygous position in the PRLR fragment ( 544 bp ). Haplotype inference of these cases was conducted by a coalescent-based Bayesian algorithm provided by Phase 2.1 (Stephens et al., 2001; Stephens and Scheet, 2005) implemented in DnaSP 5.10 (Librado and Rozas, 2009). Sequences from Gvoždík et al. (2010) complemented the data set and helped to increase probabilities of haplotype estimates. The analyses were run five
able 1
 Montpellier; NMP - National Museum Prague, Czech Republic; MNVNKNU - The Museum of Nature at V.N. Karazin National University, Kharkov, Ukraine; ZSM - Bavarian State Collection of Zoology Munich, Germany.

| Map | Taxon | Locality | Coordinates |  | Museum no./reference | Haplotype (GenBank acc. nos.) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | N | E |  | mtDNA | PRLR |
|  | Anguis veronensis (Italian clade) | France |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  | Les Mayons | 43.32 | 06.36 | BEV. 10381 | v11 (KC881558) | Pv1/Pv1 (KC881563) |
| 2 |  | Andon - Gréolières | 43.79 | 06.86 | BEV. 8148 | v10 (KC881557) | Pv1/Pv1 |
| 3 |  | Mercantour | 44.07 | 07.51 | - | v5 (KC881552) | - |
| 4 |  | Villars sur Var | 43.94 | 07.09 | BEV. 8147 | v9 (KC881556) | Pv1/Pv1 |
|  |  | Italy |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  | Manie, Liguria | 44.20 | 08.37 | - | v4 (KC881551) | Pv1/Pv1 |
| 6 |  | Portofino, Liguria | 44.31 | 09.20 | - | v3 (KC881550) | Pv1/Pv1 |
| 7 |  | Lorsica, Liguria | 44.42 | 09.28 | - | v3 | Pv1/Pv1 |
| 8 |  | Bianzano, Lombardia | 45.75 | 09.94 | - | v6 (KC881553) | Pv1/P0 (see above/KC881566) |
| 9 |  | Trento-Lagorai, Trentino Alto Adige | 46.15 | 11.46 | - | v15 (KC88156) | Pv1/Pv3 (see above/KC881565) |
| 10 |  | Cordelle, Forno di Zoldo, Veneto | 46.35 | 12.14 | - | v14 (KC881561) | (1) |
| 11 |  | Roccagnano, Umbria | 43.38 | 12.11 | - | v8 (KC881555) | Pv1/Pv1 |
| 12 |  | Cantiano, Marche | 43.47 | 12.63 | - | v7 (KC881554) | - |
| 13 |  | Suso, Lazio | 41.51 | 13.08 | - | v13 (KC881560) | Pv1/Pv1 |
| 14 |  | Bassiano, Monte Croce, Lazio | 41.56 | 13.10 | - | v13 | Pv1/Pv1 |
| $15^{\text {a }}$ |  | Monti Pizzi, Abruzzo | 41.94 | 14.20 | - | v12 (KC881559) | Pv2/Pv2 (KC881564) |
| $16^{\text {b }}$ |  | Torrente Peglio, Campania | 40.31 | 15.58 | - | v1 (KC881548) | Pv1/Pv1 |
| 17 |  | Pollino, Basilicata | 40.04 | 16.10 | - | v2 (KC881549) | Pv1/Pv1 |
|  | Anguis fragilis | Spain |  |  |  |  |  |
| $18^{*}$ |  | Vilarmiel, Galicia | 42.48 | -07.12 | Albert et al. (2009) | f7 (EU443256) | - |
| 19 |  | Torla, Pyrenees Mts. | 42.62 | -00.11 | - | f14 (KC881545) | Pf1/Pf1 (GQ285105) |
|  |  | France |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 |  | Cabrières | 43.58 | 30.37 | BEV. 9248 | f15 (KC881546) | Pf1/Pf1 |
| 21 |  | Le Tholy | 48.08 | 60.76 | BEV. 11018 | f14 | Pf1/Pf4n (see above/GQ285108.2) |
|  |  | United Kingdom |  |  |  |  |  |
| $22^{*}$ |  | Kent, Kingsferry Bridge | 51.25 | 00.75 | Ast (2001) | f1 (FJ66654) | - |
|  |  | Czech Republic |  |  |  |  |  |
| $23^{*}$ |  | Stráž nad Ohří | 50.33 | 13.10 | - | f1 | Pf3/Pf4n ${ }^{\text {f }}$ (GQ258107/see above) |
| $24^{*}$ |  | Nové Údolí | 48.83 | 13.80 | - | f1 | - |
| $25^{*}$ |  | Malá Skála | 50.63 | 15.18 | - | f1 | - |
| $26^{*}$ |  | Ondřejovice | 50.25 | 17.35 | - | f3 (FJ66656) | - |
| $27^{*}$ |  | Rantírov | 49.41 | 15.52 | - | f2 (FJ66655) | Pf1/Pf1 |
| $28 *$ |  | Nejdek | 48.82 | 16.77 | - | f1 | - |
|  |  | Slovakia |  |  |  |  |  |
| $29^{*}$ |  | Bratislava | 48.15 | 17.07 | - | f1 | Pf3/Pf3 |
|  |  | Slovenia |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 |  | Zalošče | 45.90 | 13.90 | - | f6 (FJ66659) | Pf1/Pf1 |
| 31 |  | Kozina | 45.60 | 13.95 | - | f9 (KC881540) | - |
|  |  | Croatia |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 |  | Prezid, Velebit Mts. | 44.25 | 15.80 | - | f1 | Pf1/Pf4n |
|  |  | Bosnia and Herzegovina |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 |  | Korita | 43.03 | 18.49 | BEV T4022 | f11 (KC881542) | - |
|  |  | Serbia |  |  |  |  |  |
| $34^{\text {c }}$ |  | Užice | 43.86 | 19.84 | - | f10 (KC881541) | - |
|  |  | Greece |  |  |  |  |  |
| $35^{*}$ |  | Mesoropi | 40.89 | 24.06 | - | f4 (FJ66657) | Pf2/Pf3 (GQ285106/see above) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (continued on next page) |


| Map | Taxon | Locality | Coordinates |  | Museum no./reference | Haplotype (GenBank acc. nos.) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | N | E |  | mtDNA | PRLR |
| $36^{*}$ |  | Lepida - Megalo Livadi junction | 41.37 | 24.63 | NHMC 80.3.92.2 | f5 (FJ66658) | Pf2/Pf2 |
|  |  | Germany |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37 |  | Murnauer Moos | 47.63 | 11.15 | ZSM 176/2009 | f1 | P0/P0 |
| 38 |  | München | 48.17 | 11.47 | ZSM 1922/2008 | f13 (KC881544) | P0/P0 |
|  |  | Austria |  |  |  |  |  |
| 39 |  | Hallstatt | 47.55 | 13.66 | - | f12 (KC881543) | Pf1/P0 |
|  | Hybrid fragilis-veronensis | Italy |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40 |  | Campiolo, Friuli-Venezia Giulia | 46.40 | 13.17 | - | f8 (KC881539) | Pf1/Pv1 |
|  |  | Slovenia |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41* |  | Bohinj Lake, Stara Fužina | 46.29 | 13.90 | NMP6V 72692 | f6 | $\mathrm{Pf} 1 / \mathrm{Pv} 1^{\text {g }}$ |
|  | Anguis colchica | Czech Republic |  |  |  |  |  |
| 42* |  | Hostětín |  |  |  |  |  |
| $43^{*}$ |  | Štramberk | $49.58$ | $18.10$ | NMP6V 72822 | c3 (FJ666578) | Pc1/Pc1 (GQ285112) |
|  |  | Slovakia |  |  |  |  |  |
| 44* |  | Rovné | 48.92 | 18.95 | - | c1 (FJ666576) | Pc1/Pc1 |
|  |  | Šuňava | 49.03 | 20.08 | - |  | - |
| $46^{*}$ |  | Chlmecká skalka |  |  | - |  | Pc1/Pc1 |
|  |  | Poland |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47 |  | Kamieniec | 50.45 | 21.25 | - | c12 (KC881543) | - |
| $48^{*}$ |  | Bocki | 52.65 | 23.05 | - | c4 | Pc1/Pc1 |
|  |  | Lithuania |  |  |  |  |  |
| 49** |  | Marcinkonys | 54.04 | 24.44 | - | c6 (FJ666581) | Pc1/Pc1 |
| $50^{*}$ |  | Paluše | 55.33 | 26.10 | - | c6 | Pc1/Pc1 |
|  |  | Ukraine |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Sokyryany | $48.49$ |  |  | c6 |  |
| 52 |  | Sharivka | $50.04$ | 35.43 | MNVNKNU G1445 | c6 | - |
|  |  | Romania |  |  |  |  |  |
| 53* |  | Finatale Clujuluj | 46.83 | 23.62 | - | c5 (FJ666580) | Pc1/Pc1 |
|  |  | Russia |  |  |  |  |  |
| 54* |  | Babukal, Krasnodarsky Territory | 43.67 | 39.63 | Macey et al. (1999) | c11 (AF085622) | - |
|  |  | Turkey |  |  |  |  |  |
| 55* |  | Hopa | 41.40 | 41.44 | NMP6V 73694 | c10 (FJ666585) | Pc2/Pc2 (FJ666584) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 56* |  | Vardzia - Apnia road | 41.37 | 43.27 | - | c9 (FJ666584) | Pc2/Pc3 (see above/GQ285114) |
| $57^{*}$ |  | Telavi | 41.92 | 45.49 | - | c9 | Pc3/Pc5 (see above/GQ285116) |
|  |  | Iran |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Motalla Sara-ye Lemir | 38.20 | 48.87 | NMP6V 72678 | c7 (FJ666582) | Pc2/Pc2 |
| 59* |  | Nowshar | 36.65 | 51.50 | NMP6V 72680 | c8 (FJ666583) | Pc2/Pc4 |
|  | Anguis graeca | Montenegro |  |  |  |  |  |
| $60^{*}$ |  | Ulcinj | 41.93 | 19.21 | NMP6V 71272 | g14b ${ }^{\text {e }}$ (FJ666573.2) | - |
|  |  | Albania |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Diviakë | 40.95 | 19.47 | - | g13 (FJ666572) | Pg1/Pg1 (GQ285109) |
| $62^{*}$ |  | Dukat | 40.21 | 19.58 | - | g15 (FJ666574) | - |
| $63^{*}$ |  | Himarë | 40.68 | 19.66 | - | g7 (FJ666566) | - |
| $64^{* d}$ |  | Syri i Kaltër | 39.92 | 20.19 | - | g8 (FJ666567) | $\operatorname{Pg} 1 / \mathrm{Pg} 1$ |
| $65^{*}$ |  | Ersekë, Shelegurë Lake | 40.32 | 20.67 | - | g4, g5 (FJ666563, FJ666564) | - |
| $66^{*}$ |  | Korce | 40.61 | 20.82 | NMP6V 73232 | g16 (FJ666575) | - |


times with different seeds for the random number generator and checked if gametic-phase estimation was consistent through the runs according to goodness-of-fit values. Each run was conducted under the parent-independent mutation model with a burn-inperiod of 100 followed by 1000 iterations. All haplotypes were estimated with high probability ( $\geqslant 0.94$ ) and all were used in subsequent phylogenetic analyses. The two individuals sequenced for C-mos were homozygous in the analyzed 555 bp stretch. No stop codons were detected in nuclear haplotypes as checked by translation with the universal nuclear genetic code using BioEdit 7.0 (Hall, 1999). Haplotype networks for the gametic-phased nuclear data were constructed using the statistical parsimony algorithm implemented in TCS 1.21 (Clement et al., 2000) under the $95 \%$ limit of parsimony.

Haplotype data sets prepared by Collapse 1.2 (Posada, 2006) were used for subsequent phylogenetic analyses. We tested four data partitioning strategies reflecting functional categories (pro-tein-coding portion and transfer RNAs) and codon positions (1st, 2nd, 3rd) for the mtDNA marker and three for the nuclear PRLR gene (Table 3). For each data partition the best model of sequence evolution was selected with jModelTest 2.1.2 (Darriba et al., 2012) based on the Bayesian information criterion (BIC). The data were analyzed under the Bayesian approach (BA) with MrBayes 3.2 (Ronquist et al., 2012) for each partitioning strategy. Parameters for each partition were unlinked and rates were allowed to vary independently. Two separate runs, with four chains for each run, of six million generations were conducted simultaneously with sampling every 100th tree. First $20 \%$ of trees were discarded as the burn-in after inspection for stationarity of log-likelihood scores of sampled trees and check for convergence of different runs in Tracer 1.5 (Rambaut and Drummond, 2009). Majority-rule consensus trees were drawn from the post-burn-in trees and the posterior probabilities (bpp) were calculated as the frequency of samples recovering any particular clade.

The optimal partitioning strategy was selected based on the performance comparison of the Bayesian analyses of each partition scheme done by pair-wise comparisons of twice the natural logarithm of the Bayes factor, $2 \ln \left(\mathrm{BF}_{21}\right)$. Positive values exceeding 10 were used as a threshold for preferring the second strategy over the first strategy (Brandley et al., 2005; Brown and Lemmon, 2007; Kass and Raftery, 1995; see Table 4).

We further performed partitioned maximum likelihood (ML) analyses with the most appropriate partition scheme (Table 4) for the mtDNA and PRLR nuclear markers using RAxML 7.2.6 (Stamatakis, 2006). The general time-reversible model with rate heterogeneity was applied for each partition independently and clade support was assessed by 1000 bootstrap pseudoreplicates. A topologically constrained ML tree (A. fragilis and the Italian populations monophyletic) was also calculated and the Shimodaira-Hasegawa test (SH test; Shimodaira and Hasegawa, 1999) as implemented in RAxML applied to evaluate a significance of difference in likelihood scores between the constrained and unconstrained trees.

### 2.4. Morphological comparison

To compare slow worms from the Italian Peninsula with A. fragilis from other parts of Europe we used 10 metric, 11 meristic and 6 categorical characters for univariate and multivariate statistics (for the list of all characters and their definitions see Supplementary data Table S1). The two groups of slow worms were first tested for differences in their body length (snout-vent length, SVL) with $t$-test. Subsequently the SVL was used as a covariate to test for the differences in the tail length (TL) with analysis of covariance (ANCOVA). Differences in all head dimensions (two head length measurements, head height, head width, nostril length, rostrum length, eye length, distance rostrum-eye)


Fig. 1. Map showing the origin of the slow-worm samples used for molecular analyses and the distribution range of the genus (ochre). For the list of localities see Table 1.
were tested with multivariate analysis of covariance (MANCOVA) with SVL used as a covariate and also with univariate ANCOVAs. Meristic characters (number of dorsal, ventral, anal, subcaudal, supraocular, submaxillar, supralabial scales, and number of the scale rows around body in four body segments) were compared with multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) and t-test. The categorical characters were compared for the frequency of their occurrence in both species with chi-square test (type of prefrontal scales position; presence of the ear opening was not statistically compared as we found no variation in this trait) and log-linear regression (coloration and pattern characters; the amount of dorsal spots, presence and intensity of vertebral line, presence and intensity of the border between dorsal and lateral coloration, amount of the dark pigment in the ventral side of the body). Correct groupings of the individuals were tested by discriminant function analysis (DFA) using leave-one-out cross-validation (Lance et al., 2000). The sexes were analyzed separately to avoid misinterpretation of the differences caused by sexual dimorphism and in all statistical tests only adult specimens with known sex were used. All statistical analyses were performed in SPSS 17.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL).

## 3. Results

### 3.1. Mitochondrial DNA

The resulting mtDNA dataset ( 1425 bp ), excluding the outgroups, contained 324 polymorphic sites out of which 278 were parsimony informative, and yielded 60 haplotypes. Thirty-two newly sequenced individuals produced 27 haplotypes, including 24 new ones. The ML (Fig. 3) and BA consensus trees were essentially identical with respect to the topology of the main Anguis lineages and recovered the same, previously detected (Gvoždík et al., 2010), clades/species and their relationships: (A. cephallonica, ( $A$. fragilis, ( $A$. colchica, A. graeca))). However, from the 24 new haplotypes only nine belonged to the previously recognized clades,
one to the $A$. colchica clade (c12 from Poland) and eight to the $A$. fragilis clade (f8-f15; one codon deletion in ND2 was detected in all new fragilis haplotypes). The remaining 15 haplotypes (v1v 15 ), originating from Italy and south-eastern France, formed a new distinct lineage which we tentatively name "the Italian clade". In both phylogenetic approaches (ML, BA) the Italian clade was recovered as the sister lineage to $A$. cephallonica, however with virtually no support. Therefore the deep relationships within the tree remain unresolved, polytomic, with unclear position of the Italian clade relatively to A. cephallonica and the clade containing A. fragilis, A. colchica and A. graeca (showing relatively high support 87/ 0.97 in ML/BA).

The three newly sequenced individuals of $A$. colchica had two haplotypes, c6 (Ukraine) and c12 (Poland). Both haplotypes belong to the eastern European A. colchica subclade, A. colchica incerta Krynicki, 1837 (Gvoždík et al., 2010). The newly analyzed individuals with A. fragilis haplotypes filled some geographic gaps in the knowledge on distribution of the haplotypes, such as in eastern Spain, southern and eastern France, southern Germany, Austria, extreme north-eastern Italy, Slovenia, Croatia, Bosnia and Herzegovina, and Serbia. No distinctly divergent subclade was detected within the $A$. fragilis clade, which is characterized by relatively low genetic variation in respect to its wide distribution range. On the contrary, the Italian clade possesses relatively high genetic variation with conspicuous, highly supported basal split separating samples from the Dolomite Mountains (Eastern Alps, NE Italy) from all others. The result of the SH test clearly rejected the constrained tree ( $A$. fragilis and the Italian clade monophyletic) over the best tree where the two species were not in a sister relationship ( $p<0.01$ ). Genetic distances between all taxa are shown in Table 5.

### 3.2. Nuclear DNA

The number of polymorphic sites in the nuclear PRLR fragment (544 bp), excluding the outgroup genera, was 20 with nine

Table 2
List of the material of A. veronensis and A. fragilis used for morphological analyses. Museum acronyms: HNHM - Hungarian Natural History Museum, Budapest, Hungary; MZUF La Specola - Zoological Museum of the University of Florence, Italy; NMW - Natural History Museum, Vienna, Austria; NMP - National Museum Prague, Czech Republic; MUZAC Museum of the Department of Biology, Aldo Moro University, Bari, Italy; SNMB - Slovak National Museum Bratislava, Slovakia; ZFMK - Zoological Research Museum Alexander Koenig Bonn, Germany.


Table 2 (continued)

| Map | Locality | Coordinates |  | $N$ | Museum no. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Taxon |  | N | E |  |  |
| m59 | Bonn 1 | 50.72 | 07.11 | 2 | ZFMK 89092, 89410 |
| m60 | Bonn 2 | 50.70 | 07.13 | 1 | ZFMK 88856 |
| m61 | Wuppertal | 51.25 | 07.17 | 2 | ZFMK 88085, 88086 |
| m62 | Wahner Heide | 50.85 | 07.17 | 1 | ZFMK 75085 |
| m63 | Mayern-Maria Laach | 50.38 | 07.24 | 2 | ZFMK 88079, 88080 |
| m64 | Neunkirchen-Seelscheid | 50.85 | 07.34 | 1 | ZFMK 89409 |
| m65 | Spay | 50.26 | 07.64 | 1 | ZFMK 83958 |
| m66 | Seck Westerburg | 50.57 | 08.05 | 1 | ZFMK 76386 |
| m67 | Herborn | 50.68 | 08.31 | 1 | ZFMK 89408 |
| m68 | Kronberg-Taunus | 50.18 | 08.50 | 1 | ZFMK 71617 |
| m69 | Dreieich-Sprendlingen | 50.03 | 08.69 | 2 | ZFMK 88077, 88078 |
| m70 | Frankfurt am Main | 50.15 | 08.78 | 4 | ZFMK 88069, 90549-90551 |
| m71 | Spessart-Bad Orb | 50.23 | 09.36 | 1 | ZFMK 57711 |
| m72 | Probsteierhagen | 54.36 | 10.28 | 2 | ZFMK 85181, 85182 |
| m73 | Probstei-Hessenstein | 54.39 | 10.38 | 1 | ZFMK 85183 |
| m74 | Rügen | 54.31 | 13.35 | 3 | HNHM 62.547.1, 2010.48.1-2 |
| m75 | Koblentzer See | 53.53 | 14.13 | 1 | ZFMK 67202 |
| m76 | Thale | 51.75 | 11.02 | 1 | HNHM 62.552.1 |
| m77 | Quarmbach | 51.77 | 11.13 | 1 | ZFMK 71614 |
| m78 | Schwarza-Tal | 50.63 | 11.17 | 1 | ZFMK 57810 |
| m79 | Eltmann | 49.96 | 10.65 | 1 | ZFMK 64736 |
| m80 | Eisenberg-Pfalz | 49.56 | 08.07 | 1 | ZFMK71021 |
| m81 | Hirschhorn-Neckar | 49.44 | 08.90 | 1 | ZFMK 87328 |
| m82 | Rutesheim | 48.80 | 08.95 | 6 | ZFMK 39621, 40577-40580 |
| m83 | Oberweissach | 48.92 | 09.50 | 1 | ZFMK 55935 |
| m84 | Fischbach, Schluchsee | 47.84 | 08.16 | 1 | ZFMK 55935 |
| m85 | Blumberg | 47.85 | 08.53 | 1 | ZFMK 64737 |
| m86 | Oberpfalz | 49.02 | 12.09 | 1 | ZFMK 84808 |
| m87 | Niederneuching | 48.25 | 11.82 | 1 | ZFMK 71168 |
|  | Austria |  |  |  |  |
| m88 | Admont | 47.57 | 14.46 | 1 | NMW 22861 |
| m89 | Hauenstein | 47.19 | 15.08 | 1 | ZFMK 54787 |
| m90 | Schneeberg | 47.79 | 15.91 | 2 | ZFMK 60779, 65112 |
| m91 | Wien 2 | 48.24 | 16.29 | 1 | HNHM 62.550.1 |
| m92 | Wien 1 | 48.20 | 16.38 | 1 | NMW 13977 |
| m93 | Hipples | 48.50 | 16.41 | 1 | - |
| m94 | Eisenstadt | 47.86 | 16.52 | 2 | ZFMK 65100, 86678 |
| m95 | Zurndorf | 47.98 | 17.04 | 2 | ZFMK 68657, 71996 |
|  | Croatia |  |  |  |  |
| m96 | Pula | 44.88 | 13.87 | 1 | ZFMK 63024 |
| m97 | Učka | 45.25 | 14.20 | 1 | HNHM 82.65.1 |
| m98 | Beli, Cres | 45.11 | 14.35 | 1 | ZFMK 68472 |
| m99 | Malinska, Krk | 45.12 | 14.53 | 2 | ZFMK 41467, NMP 6V 35063 |
| m100 | Nivice, Krk | 45.16 | 14.54 | 1 | ZFMK 41468 |
| m101 | Fort Opus | 43.01 | 17.56 | 2 | NMW 25025/1-2 |
| m102 | Ragusa | 42.67 | 18.12 | 1 | NMW 25025/3 |
|  | Bosnia and Herzegovina |  |  |  |  |
| m103 | Oštrelj | 44.48 | 16.40 | 3 | NMP6V 74385/13- |
| m104 | Kozara | 45.04 | 16.91 | 1 | NMP6V 74388 |
| m105 | Ost | 43.97 | 17.46 | 1 | NMP6V 74382 |
| m106 | Travnik | 44.22 | 17.67 | 1 | NMW 8162/1 |
| m107 | Jablanica | 43.65 | 17.80 | 1 | NMW 25025/4 |
| m108 | Konjič | 43.65 | 17.97 | 1 | SNMB 157 |
| m109 | Kukovice | 43.57 | 18.06 | 1 | HNHM 2009.35.1 |
| m110 | Nišiči | 44.05 | 18.46 | 1 | - |
| m111 | Sutjeska | 43.31 | 18.66 | 1 | NMP6V 74389 |
| m112 | Tjenjište | 43.36 | 18.71 | 1 | NMP6V 74386 |
| m113 | Maglič | 43.29 | 18.72 | 2 | NMP6V 74384/1-2 |
| m114 | Požarnica | 44.53 | 18.78 | 2 | NMP6V 74387, - |

parsimony informative sites. Altogether 17 gametic-phased haplotypes were found, including four new ones (haplotypes Pv1-Pv3 and PO) forming a compact cluster in the parsimony haplotype network positioned in between the A. colchica-A. fragilis, A. graeca and A. cephallonica clusters (Fig. 4). Two new haplotypes (Pv1, P0) filled the positions of hypothetical haplotypes from the previously published network (Gvoždík et al., 2010), while the other two haplotypes (Pv2, Pv3) were derived from the most common haplotype (Pv1).

One A. fragilis PRLR haplotype (Pf4) from Gvoždík et al. (2010) was shown erroneous with a high probability. Its previous coales-cent-based Bayesian estimation from a heterozygous individual (Stráž nad Ohří, Czech Republic) was inferred with low probability (0.51) in one of three heterozygous positions (Gvoždík et al., 2010). Estimation within this study with additional data produced the opposite combination of possible haplotype inference with high average probability (0.99). We named the newly inferred haplotype Pf4n and replaced Pf4 in GenBank (GQ285108.2). The individ-


Fig. 2. Map showing the origin of the slow-worm samples used for morphological analyses. For the list of localities see Table 2.

Table 3
Partitioning strategies for the mtDNA fragment and nuclear PRLR gene. Partitions reflect functional categories (protein-coding portion and transfer RNAs) and codon positions ( $1 \mathrm{st}, 2 \mathrm{nd}, 3 \mathrm{rd}$ ). The table lists the number of partitions $\left(n_{\text {part }}\right)$, number of parameters ( $K$ ), partition identities, models selected under the BIC criterion, and natural logarithms of the harmonic means of the likelihood values of Bayesian analyses ( $\ln L_{\text {harm }}$ ) for each partitioning strategy. The best partitioning strategies are indicated in bold.

| Partition strategy | $n_{\text {part }}$ | K | Partition identity | Model | $\ln L_{\text {harm }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mtP1 | 1 | 133 | $N D 2+t R N A s$ (unpartitioned) | GTR + G | -6781.2 |
| mtP2 | 2 | 262 | ND2/tRNAs | GTR + G/HKY + G | -6781.4 |
| mtP3 | 3 | 392 | ND2-1st + 2nd/ND2-3rd/tRNAs | GTR + I + G/HKY + G/HKY + G | -6596.9 |
| mtP4 | 4 | 516 | ND2-1st/ND2-2nd/ND2-3rd/tRNAs | $\mathbf{H K Y}+\mathbf{G} / \mathbf{H K Y}+\mathbf{I} / \mathbf{H K Y}+\mathbf{G} / \mathbf{H K Y}+\mathbf{G}$ | -6547.6 |
| nP1 | 1 | 38 | PRLR (unpartitioned) | HKY | -999.7 |
| nP2 | 2 | 75 | PRLR-1st + 2nd/PRLR-3rd | HKY/F81 | -986.8 |
| nP3 | 3 | 106 | PRLR-1st/PRLR-2nd/PRLR-3rd | JC/K80/F81 | -994.4 |

Table 4
Evaluation of the optimal partitioning scheme for mtDNA and PRLR nuclear markers separately assessed by pair-wise comparisons of twice the natural logarithm of the Bayes factor, $2 \ln \left(\mathrm{BF}_{21}\right)$. Positive values exceeding 10 were used as a threshold for preferring the second strategy over the first strategy (Brandley et al., 2005; Brown and Lemmon, 2007; Kass and Raftery, 1995). The best partitioning strategies are in bold. For partition identities see Table 3.

| $2 \ln \left(\mathrm{BF}_{21}\right)$ | $\mathrm{mtP1}$ | $\mathrm{mtP2}$ | $\mathrm{mtP3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| mtP 1 | - |  |  |
| $\mathrm{mtP2}$ | -0.4 | - | - |
| $\mathrm{mtP3}$ | 368.6 | 369.0 | $\mathbf{9 8 . 6}$ |
| $\mathbf{m t P 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 7 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{n P 2}$ | $\mathrm{nP3}$ |
| $2 \ln \left(\mathrm{BF}_{21}\right)$ | $\mathrm{nP1}$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{nP1}$ | - | - | - |
| $\mathbf{n P 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 2}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{nP3}$ | 10.6 |  |  |

ual from Stráž nad Ohří thus possesses the haplotype combination Pf3/Pf4n (see also Table 1).

The nuclear C-mos fragment ( 555 bp ) possesses much lower genetic variation in slow worms as was already pointed out before (Gvoždík et al., 2010). Eleven sites were polymorphic within An-
guis, with nine being parsimony informative. However, most of the nucleotide variation contributed to the differentiation of $A$. cephallonica, with all mutations being synonymous. One of the two southern Italian samples sequenced for this marker had the most common haplotype Cfc1 (shared with almost all analyzed $A$. fragilis and $A$. colchica), while the other sample had a new haplotype (Cv1) derived by one mutational step from the most common haplotype (Fig. 5).

### 3.3. Comparison of mtDNA and $n D N A$ and signs of hybridization

Comparing the overall diversity of the mtDNA and nuclear PRLR fragments with respect to the Italian populations (including the populations from south-eastern France), it is evident that they form a unique lineage in mtDNA and a compact cluster of closely related haplotypes in PRLR, distinct from other populations. There was congruence in this pattern in all Italian and south-eastern French samples with the exception of two individuals from extreme north-eastern Italy (Campiolo) and Slovenia (Stara Fužina): both specimens were characterized by a combination of the most common A. fragilis and Italian PRLR haplotypes (Pf1/Pv1) and A. fragilis mtDNA (haplotypes f8 and f6, respectively). These two samples clearly show hybrid origin with mixed genotypes of $A$.


Fig. 3. Maximum-likelihood phylogeny of the slow worms, based on sequences of the 1428 bp fragment of ND2 and tRNAs (tRNA-Trp, tRNA-Ala, tRNA-Asn, tRNA-Cys, tRNA-Tyr). Statistical support for the major clades is expressed as the percentage bootstrap values and Bayesian posterior probabilities (bpp). Branch support values <50/0.50 bootstrap/ bpp are not indicated.
fragilis and the Italian slow worms. Further, three samples from southern Germany and central Austria had PRLR haplotype (PO) derived by one mutational step from the most common Italian haplotype in combination with A. fragilis mtDNA haplotypes (f1, f12, f13). This might indicate either some level of gene flow between the Italian slow worms and A. fragilis or, as we suppose, an ancestral state of PO haplotype within Anguis, i.e. the result of incomplete lineage sorting (see Table 1, Fig. 1).

### 3.4. Morphological comparison

Our analyses show that adult A. fragilis and the Italian slow worms differ in the relative length of the intact tail [ANCOVA, SVL as a covariate; males: $F(1,20)=9.641, p=0.006$; females: $F(1,36)=25.332, p<0.001$ ] (Fig. 6a), with Italian slow worms having longer tails than $A$. fragilis in both males (mean $\pm$ SE; Italian slow worms: $206.3 \pm 5.4 \mathrm{~mm}, N=12$; A. fragilis: $186.6 \pm 9.0 \mathrm{~mm}$,

Table 5
Average genetic distances in percentage between all taxa of the genus Anguis and outgroup genera Pseudopus and Hyalosaurus based on uncorrected p-distances of the investigated mtDNA fragment (ND2 and $t R N A s$ ). In bold, distances related to $A$. veronensis, in italics within-group distances are given. Anguis veronensis 2 represents the clade from the Dolomite Mountains (haplotypes v14 and v15), while A. veronensis 1 all remaining A. veronensis populations.

|  | A. graeca | A. colchica | A. fragilis | A. veronensis | A. veronensis 1 | A. veronensis 2 (Dolomites) | A. cephallonica | P. apodus | P. a. apodus |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. graeca | 1.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. colchica | 5.8 | 1.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. fragilis | 8.0 | 7.0 | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. veronensis | 8.8 | 9.2 | 9.2 | 1.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. veronensis 1 | - | - | - | - | 1.4 |  |  |  |  |
| A. veronensis 2 (Dolomites) | - | - | - | - | 2.3 | 0.1 |  |  |  |
| A. cephallonica | 7.6 | 7.1 | 7.8 | 6.1 | - | - | 0.5 |  |  |
| P. apodus | 13.1 | 12.4 | 13.2 | 12.9 | - | - | 12.2 | 1.9 |  |
| P. a. apodus | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| P. a. thracius | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 2.9 |
| H. koellikeri | 14.9 | 14.0 | 15.6 | 15.1 | - | - | 14.1 | 16.0 | - |



Fig. 4. (a) Maximum likelihood phylogram based on phased haplotypes of the nuclear PRLR gene. Numbers above branches are bootstrap support values for maximum likelihood and Bayesian posterior probability values. (b) Statistical parsimony haplotype network of PRLR, with circle sizes proportional to haplotype frequencies and colors matching the main clades (species) in the mtDNA phylogenetic tree. Small black dots = extinct or unsampled haplotypes. Haplotype P0 is presumably an ancestral haplotype found in A. fragilis and A. veronensis from the Alpine region. Haplotype names as listed in Table 1. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
$N=11$ ) and females (Italian slow worms: $196.4 \pm 5.8 \mathrm{~mm}, N=22$; A. fragilis: $183.9 \pm 5.1 \mathrm{~mm}, N=18$ ). Consequently, both species also differ in the number of subcaudal scales [t-test; males: $t(21)=-3.002, \quad p=0.007$; females: $t(37)=-3.695, \quad p=0.001]$ (Fig. 6b) with Italian slow worms having more subcaudal scales than A. fragilis in both males (Italian slow worms: $150.5 \pm 2.4$, $N=12$; A. fragilis: $140.7 \pm 2.1, N=11$ ) and females (Italian slow worms: $149.1 \pm 1.5, N=22$; A. fragilis: $141.2 \pm 1.6, N=17$ ). In females this result was confirmed by post hoc test after significant MANOVA of body scales $[F(11,21)=2.671, p=0.025]$ where none of the remaining characters differed between the two groups. In males, the same analysis was non-significant, presumably due to
the small number of specimens with intact tail (results not shown). Both sexes of Italian slow worms have larger heads than A. fragilis (MANCOVA; males: $F(8,32)=2.937, p=0.014$; females $F(8,60)=$ $2.274, p=0.034)$, but only in males the post hoc tests showed differences in head width, head height and anteorbital length (see Supplementary data, Tables S1 and S2).

Both groups also differ in the frequency of the types of the prefrontal scales position (Pearson chi-square; $\chi^{2}(3)=12.096$, $p=0.006$ ) with significantly higher frequency of type C prefrontal scales position in Italian slow worms ( $28 \%$ in Italian slow worms vs. 6\% in A. fragilis on average; Fig. 7). We have not found sufficient variation in the external ear opening presence in Italian slow


Fig. 5. Haplotype network of the C-mos gene based on the statistical parsimony algorithm ( $95 \%$ limit of parismony). Circle sizes correspond to haplotype frequencies and colors match the main mtDNA clades (species). Anguis fragilis and A. colchica share the common main haplotype ( Cfc 1 ) and the same haplotype was found in one of the two analyzed specimens of $A$. veronensis. Haplotype names as listed in Table 1. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
worms and $A$. fragilis, and therefore the character could not have been compared between the groups. The ear opening is not visible in Italian slow worms, which is a typical state in A. fragilis as well.

The log-linear analysis of the coloration, which also takes into account correlation among the individual characters, was not significant, while the simple chi-square test showed higher frequency of the occurrence of black abdominal coloration in Italian slow worms in comparison to A. fragilis (Pearson; $\chi^{2}(3)=12.507$, $p=0.006$ ).

Discriminant function analyses (DFA) showed high rate of successful posterior assignment to the respective groups (sexes treated separately): $90.5 \%$ (males) and $94.4 \%$ (females) in DFAs of metric characters, $86.4 \%$ and $90.9 \%$ in scale characters, and $100 \%$ in combined datasets of both metric and scale characters. However after cross-validation procedure the rates considerably decreased to $52.4 \%$ and $66.7 \%$ in DFAs of metric characters, $54.5 \%$ and $60.6 \%$ in scale characters, and $52.4 \%$ and $84.4 \%$ in combined datasets of both metric and scale characters, in males and females, respectively.

For the summary descriptive statistics see Supplementary data (Table S2).

## 4. Discussion

### 4.1. Genetic structure and relationships in Anguis

The results of this study reveal a new evolutionary lineage within the genus Anguis. This lineage was detected within the Italian Peninsula and is distributed up to the southern Alps and to south-eastern France (Figs. 1 and 2). All specimens of the Italian
(a) Relative tail length

(b) Subcaudal scales


Fig. 6. Box-plots of the relative tail lengths (a) and subcaudal scale numbers (b) in adult $A$. veronensis and $A$. fragilis (only specimens with intact tails were considered). The tail lengths were standardized to the length of the male slow worm with the longest SVL and subsequently normalized to the ratio of the obtained standardized tail length to the longest male standardized tail length (male $\mathrm{TL}_{\max }=1.00$ ). Upper and lower limit of the box represent upper and lower quartile, respectively, the bar inside the box represents median, the ends of whiskers show extreme values, and the individual dots are outliers.
mtDNA clade (Fig. 3) are also characterized by distinct PRLR haplotypes that are not shared by other slow-worm lineages (Fig. 4b). According to the mtDNA genealogy, the new evolutionary lineage forms part of a basal polytomy within Anguis not yet fully resolved. Additionally, the structure in the nuclear PRLR gene reveals that the new Anguis lineage occupies an internal position inside the network, supporting an ancestral position within the identified lineages of the genus. Genetic distances between the new Anguis evolutionary lineage and all other currently recognized species are larger ( $8.3 \%$ on average) than the interspecific distances among the other lineages within the genus ( $7.2 \%$ ) and represent about two thirds of the genetic distance between the genera Anguis and Pseudopus ( $12.8 \%$ in uncorrected $p$-distances; Table 5). Therefore the genetic distances in the mtDNA markers indicate rather interspecific than intraspecific differentiation. Multilocus inference of phylogenetic relationships within Anguis is currently ongoing


Fig. 7. Prefrontal scales position types in A. veronensis and A. fragilis. The pie plots show frequencies of the occurrence of each position type.
(Bellati et al., in preparation) and should ascertain more precisely the phylogenetic position of all slow worms.

### 4.2. Taxonomy and nomenclature

We propose to resurrect the name Anguis veronensis Pollini, 1818 for the populations representing the evolutionary lineage of slow worms from the Italian Peninsula and south-eastern France (type locality "Caldiero e la Ruota", Verona Province, Italy; Pollini, 1818).

The recent changes in the taxonomy of the genus Anguis proposed by part of our team (Gvoždík et al., 2010) were originally justified on the basis of the genetic species concept (Baker and Bradley, 2006), according to which the genetic species represents a group of genetically compatible interbreeding natural populations that is genetically isolated from other such groups. The newly recognized species $A$. veronensis conforms to this definition, however, we also showed that the lineage has a long independent evolutionary history, represents a lineage of ancestral descendent populations, and maintains its identity from other lineages both on genetic (mtDNA and nDNA) and morphological level. Based on these criteria, $A$. veronensis also represents an evolutionary species as defined by Simpson (1951) and Wiley (1978). With present knowledge we can diagnose $A$. veronensis on the basis of unique nucleotide composition of the ND2 and PRLR genes. Proper morphological diagnosis is presently only possible with respect to parapatric species $A$. fragilis, in comparison to which $A$. veronensis has relatively longer tail (Fig. 7), more subcaudal scales, relatively more robust head and higher rate of the type C of prefrontal scales position (prefrontal scales not in contact; Fig. 6). Both mentioned species were considered conspecific for a long time and based on current evidence they share the largest number of phenotypic characters from among all species of the genus. A detailed morphological analysis of the entire genus is under preparation (Benkovský et al., in preparation).

Several junior synonyms of $A$. veronensis with the type localities within the range of this taxon can be found in Supplementary data (S3). The common name we propose for $A$. veronensis is "Italian slow worm".

### 4.3. Historical biogeography of Anguis veronensis

Italian slow worms have not been studied using molecular markers prior to this study and no concerns about their
morphological similarity to other A. fragilis populations have arisen so far. Consequently, the Italian populations have been taxonomically assigned to $A$. fragilis, a species which is distributed throughout western Europe. However, our analyses have not confirmed close phylogenetic relationships of the Italian slow worms with A. fragilis, rather it seems that the lineage that we designated A. veronensis evolved shortly after the basal split within the genus, independently from the lineage comprising the common ancestor of A. fragilis, A. colchica and A. graeca (as suggested by high support for the clade formed by these three species).

The estimation of divergence times based on the molecular evolution rate of the studied mtDNA region placed the basal radiation of extant forms of Anguis to the Late Miocene approx. 5.7 Mya (Gvoždík et al., 2010). However, relatively rich and well preserved fossil record of anguines provides evidence that the genus Anguis is very old. There are several Eocene, Oligocene and Early Miocene records from various regions of Europe, mainly from the western part of the genus range (e.g. Klembara, 1979, 1981; Estes, 1983; Augé and Smith, 2009), with the oldest known fossils of the genus resembling the recent species from the Early Eocene locality Prémontré in France, approx. 50 Mya (Augé, 2003). Although fossil records only confirm the presence of slow worms from the Middle Pleistocene in the Italian Peninsula (Delfino, 2004), the relative abundance in other parts of western Europe offers a possibility that the slow worms might have been present in the region for a long time before the Quaternary. It is thus possible that slow worms colonized the peninsula in the Early or Middle Miocene, after the land bridge between the Apennines and the rest of the European continent formed (ca. 20 Mya, Early Miocene; Rögl, 1999), and later gene flow between these newly established populations and more northerly populations has become significantly restricted due to processes connected to the Tertiary Alpine orogeny (Blondel et al., 2010). Geographic separation and vicariance south of the Alps might have subsequently resulted in divergence from the remaining European slow-worm populations. During the Messinian Salinity Crisis in the Late Miocene (ca. 5.96-5.33 Mya), when the large parts of the Mediterranean Sea bottom were exposed connecting the Apennines with Balkans, this southern region might have been inhabited by a population which not only gave rise to A. veronensis, but also to another ancient lineage, A. cephallonica from the present Peloponnese. Although our mtDNA tree clusters these two taxa together, the support for this group, and thus the phylogenetic evidence for this scenario, is very low.

Although diversification of many European reptile taxa happened during the Late Pliocene or in Pleistocene as a consequence of climate oscillations and range changes, there is growing evidence that many reptile lineages diverged earlier during the Miocene, with snakes being usually older than lizards (Joger et al., 2007; Blondel et al., 2010; Dubey and Shine, 2011).

Considering the data presented herein, the origin of the Italian slow worms via Pleistocene colonization of the Italian Peninsula by A. fragilis from the Balkan and/or southern French refugia seems implausible and the Pleistocene climate oscillations rather played a role in shaping its more recent genetic diversity and distribution. The newly recognized species is sub-structured into several subclades showing relatively high intraspecific variation. Such diversity would be expected if the genetic variation had been shaped in multiple microrefugia dislocated in the Italian Peninsula and/or in south-eastern France. This is in concordance with the 'refugia-within-refugia' hypothesis (Gomez and Lunt, 2007), originally proposed for the Iberian Peninsula, and the observed pattern of genetic variation could have been shaped during the Pleistocene climatic oscillations. Several other reptiles and vertebrates had multiple Pleistocene refugia in the Italian Peninsula, but besides higher overall diversity in the southern part of the peninsula, no other general pattern can be observed (see e.g. Böhme et al., 2007; Bellati et al., 2011; Canestrelli et al., 2011; Podnar et al., 2005; Stefani et al., 2012; Ursenbacher et al., 2006). In A. veronensis, haplotypes v14 and v15 (Table 1; Fig 1, localities 9 and 10) form a sister lineage to all other Italian slow worms with a relatively deep intraspecific divergence ( $2.3 \%$ uncorrected $p$-distance; Table 5 ), suggesting that the area of their distribution in the Dolomite Mts. might be connected to the firstly separated microrefugium. Here in deep warmer southern valleys, the slow worms could have survived the cold glacial periods and later expanded to the surrounding areas. The region of the Dolomite Mts. has already been suggested as a microrefugium for several species of mountain plants (Ronikier et al., 2008; Schönswetter et al., 2005), while the butterfly Parnassius mnemosine and the snail Charpenteria itala have been reported to have refugia in the Southern Alps (Gratton et al., 2008; Scheel and Hausdorf, 2012). Also the salamander Salamandra atra aurorae is endemic to the Dolomite Mts., and according to the available data, it seems that this form is genetically differentiated from the neighboring conspecific populations (Riberon et al., 2001, 2004). In another amphibian, Rana temporaria, the Italian population in the eastern Alps presents private haplotypes, which did not contribute to the colonization of other European areas after the glaciations (Stefani et al., 2012). This suggests that both these amphibian species presumably had a glacial refugium in this region.

### 4.4. Distribution and ecology of Anguis veronensis

The species is currently known only from the territories of Italy and France, but its range presumably extends to the extreme of southern Switzerland as well. It inhabits the major part of the Italian Peninsula except for the south-east (Apulia region; Luiselli et al., 2011; Zanghellini, 2006), although its occurrence seems to be much rarer in southern than in northern Italy (Luiselli et al., 2011; A. Romano, pers. obs., Figs. 1 and 2). Another species, A. fragilis, seemingly occurs in the extreme north and north-east of Italy in the Alps where both species meet and to some extent also hybridize. In France, A. veronensis only occurs in the southeastern region Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur.

The slow worms in Italy are distributed from sea level up to altitudes above 2.000 m above sea level (a.s.l.) in the Alps. However, both taxa occur in the southern slopes of the Alps, where details of their ranges are not precisely known and therefore it is currently difficult to assess the upper altitudinal limit of $A$. veronensis. The

Italian slow worms, genetically identified in this study, originated from localities distributed from sea level (Portofino, Italy) up to 1.520 m a.s.l. (Mercantour, France). In the northern limits of the range the Italian slow worm is relatively common, inhabiting a wide variety of different forest-steppe, steppe and ruderal habitats and not avoiding urban areas. On the contrary, in central and southern Italy the species inhabits a narrower spectrum of habitats, mainly the margins of oak and beech forests and occasionally could also be found in dry habitats such as sand dunes in the Mediterranean macchia (Luiselli et al., 2011).

In the Mediterranean region, the Italian slow worms tend to be active at dusk with occasional nocturnal activity, while other slowworm populations are rather diurnal and occasionally crepuscular (Luiselli et al., 2011). There is almost no further data on ecology of A. veronensis as most ecological studies from the Italian territory were carried out in the areas where A. fragilis or possibly also hybrid populations occur (e.g. Capula and Luiselli, 1993; Luiselli et al., 1994).

### 4.5. Morphological differentiation of Anguis veronensis

Morphological comparisons showed that $A$. veronensis is differentiated from A. fragilis. The species differ in the relative tail length and number of subcaudal scales (in intact tail; Fig. 6, Supplementary data Table S2) and in overall robustness of the head. We also found a difference in the frequency of occurrence of the type C of the prefrontal scales position (no contact of the scales; Fig. 7). Another difference we identified, i.e. the frequency of the black abdominal coloration is rather tentative and will need more thorough investigation.

Despite being differentiated with statistical significance, the ranges of all morphological characters broadly overlap between the species. As a consequence, the species cannot be identified unambiguously based on the set of analyzed characters (indeed, successful classification rates of DFA were quite low, particularly for males). A similar pattern was previously found in the differences between A. fragilis and A. colchica, which could be only discriminated by a combination of several characters (presence of ear opening, prefrontal scales position, number of scales around body, head size; while they do not differ in the relative tail length, see Dely, 1981), but neither of them is strictly diagnostic. On the other hand, A. cephallonica, another ancient species with putative sister relationship to $A$. veronensis in the mtDNA genealogy, clearly and unambiguously differs from all other slow-worm species in having higher number of scale rows around body (34-36 vs. 2332) and by the presence of a characteristic lateral color pattern formed by chocolate-brown undulated line in the anterior part of the body (e.g. Dely, 1981, under the name A. fragilis peloponnesiacus Štěpánek, 1937; Grillitsch and Cabela, 1990; Valakos et al., 2008). Finally, A. graeca shows intermediate characteristics between $A$. fragilis and A. colchica (Cabela and Grillitsch, 1989), and is thus possible to presume that it is morphologically differentiated from $A$. veronensis as well.

Close morphological similarity of $A$. veronensis and $A$. fragilis, which are genetically differentiated, could either have resulted from convergent evolution, or more likely the shared morphological characters could be plesiomorphic and represent an ancestral condition in the genus Anguis. In such case, the diagnostic characters of $A$. cephallonica would represent its autapomorphies and the species could be considered morphologically most derived and most divergent from all other slow-worm species. This might presumably be explained as an adaptation to specific environmental conditions of a relatively small area in the Peloponnese. To resolve the evolution of the slow-worm phenotypes, a detailed morphological analysis and multilocus species tree of the entire complex is highly desired.

### 4.6. Conservation

Due to the relative rarity of the Italian slow worm in southern and central Italy, the species needs to be monitored and its conservation status needs to be properly assessed. As the populations in the marginal parts of the range are particularly vulnerable, conservation measures should be taken to protect the slow-worm populations in southern Italy as well as in south-eastern France. A combined faunistic, ecological and genetic research is needed to confirm the occurrence of the species in southern Switzerland. On the other hand, A. fragilis in Italy becomes a species with limited distribution in the northern border areas and, as such, deserves protection at national level.
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Table S1 List of the analyzed morphological characters with their definitions.

| Character analyzed | Definition |
| :---: | :---: |
| Snout-vent length (SVL) | longitudinal length from the rostrum to the posterior margin of the anal scales |
| Tail length (TL) | longitudinal length from the posterior margin of cloaca to the tail tip; only in specimens with complete tail |
| Head dimensions |  |
| Head length 1 (HL1) | longitudinal length from the rostrum to the posterior margin of the occipital shield |
| Head length 2 (HL2) | longitudinal length from the rostrum to the posterior margin of the mandible |
| Head width (HW) | head width in the level of the largest width |
| Head height (HH) | head height in the level of the largest height |
| Nasal opening length (NL) | horizontal length of the nasal opening |
| Rostrum length (NRL) | longitudinal length between the rostrum and the anterior margin of the nasal opening |
| Eye length (EYL) | horizontal length of the eye |
| Anteorbital length (EYRL) | longitudinal length between the rostrum and the anterior eye corner |
| Scale counts |  |
| Dorsal scales (D) | longitudinal scale count on the dorsal side of the body |
| Ventral scales (V) | longitudinal scale count on the ventral side of the body |
| Subcaudal scales (SCD) | longitudinal scale count on the ventral side of the tail |
| Scales around body 1 (SCR1) | number of the scales around the body in the level of 20th D |
| Scales around body 2 (SCR2) | number of the scales around the body in the level of the half of D |
| Scales around body 3 (SCR3) | number of the scales around the body in the level of 5th D anterior to the anal scale |
| Scales around tail (SCR4) | number of the scales around the body in the level of 20th SCD |
| Anal scales (A) | number of anal scales |
| Supraocular scales (SO) | number of supraocular scales |
| Supralabial scales (SLAB) | number of supralabial scales |
| Submaxillary scales (SUM) | number of submaxillary scales |
| Prefrontal shields position (PRF) | A-PRF in broad contact; B-PRF in point contact; C-PRF separated, X-other pattern |
| Ear opening | ear openings indistinct, ear openings on the form of a shallow depression, ear openings distinct - all types on both sides of the head or on one side only |
| Pattern and coloration |  |
| Dorsal spots | blue or grey spots absent or present on the dorsal side of the body |
| Vertebral line | gradient from vertebral line absent to vertebral line on the dorsal side of the body present and prominent |
| Dorso/lateral border coloration | gradient from prominent border to no border between the dorsal and lateral coloration |
| Abdominal coloration | gradient from black abdomen to lack of black coloration on the ventral side of the body |

Table S2 Descriptive statistics of the metric and meristic (scale counts) morphological data of $A$. veronensis and $A$. fragilis. Data are presented in the form: arithmetic mean $\pm$ standard error (minimum - maximum). $N$ - number of specimens analyzed, * paired scale counts were taken on the right side of the head.

| Morphological character | Anguis veronensis |  |  |  | Anguis fragilis |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $N$ | Males | $N$ | Females | $N$ | Males | $N$ | Females |
| Snout-vent length | 24 | 169.67 $\pm 3.51$ (132-210) | 40 | $159.65 \pm 3.80$ (108-233) | 24 | 159.25 $\pm 6.09$ (100-226) | 47 | $160.38 \pm 3.48$ (106-227) |
| Tail length | 12 | $206.25 \pm 5.36$ (168-234) | 22 | 196.36 5.79 (146-246) | 11 | 186.64 $\pm 9.04$ (135-233) | 18 | 184.72 $\pm 5.29$ (154-251) |
| Head dimensions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Head length 1 | 24 | $14.14 \pm 0.33$ (10.50-18.20) | 38 | $12.02 \pm 0.23$ (9.40-15.20) | 24 | $12.83 \pm 0.35$ (9.30-16.40) | 45 | $12.17 \pm 0.22$ (9.20-16.50) |
| Head length 2 | 24 | $15.33 \pm 0.42$ (12.20-21.10) | 38 | $13.11 \pm 0.26$ (10.10-16.50) | 23 | $14.16 \pm 0.49$ (9.70-19.50) | 43 | $13.18 \pm 0.27$ (9.50-17.90) |
| Head width | 24 | $10.09 \pm 0.25$ (7.70-12.60) | 36 | $8.04 \pm 0.18$ (5.70-10.20) | 21 | $8.37 \pm 0.31$ (5.80-10.60) | 39 | $8.06 \pm 0.17$ (6.40-11.20) |
| Head height | 23 | $7.43 \pm 0.20$ (5.40-9.80) | 36 | $6.18 \pm 0.14$ (4.30-7.60) | 22 | $6.26 \pm 0.25$ (4.10-8.30) | 37 | $6.15 \pm 0.17$ (4.10-9.60) |
| Nasal opening length | 24 | $0.64 \pm 0.02$ (0.40-0.80) | 37 | $0.52 \pm 0.02$ (0.30-0.90) | 20 | 0.57 $\pm 0.03$ (0.30-0.80) | 38 | $0.55 \pm 0.02$ (0.30-0.70) |
| Rostrum length | 24 | $1.40 \pm 0.04$ (1.00-2.00) | 37 | $1.10 \pm 0.03$ (0.70-1.50) | 20 | $1.17 \pm 0.05$ (0.70-1.50) | 38 | $1.15 \pm 0.03$ (0.80-1.50) |
| Eye length | 24 | $2.85 \pm 0.05$ (2.40-3.40) | 36 | $2.52 \pm 0.05$ (1.80-3.20) | 20 | $2.70 \pm 0.09$ (2.00-3.50) | 39 | $2.72 \pm 0.07$ (1.70-3.70) |
| Anteorbital length | 24 | $5.34 \pm 0.14$ (3.80-7.00) | 36 | $4.54 \pm 0.11$ (3.60-5.80) | 20 | $4.56 \pm 0.15$ (3.20-5.40) | 39 | $4.48 \pm 0.10$ (3.00-5.80) |
| Scale counts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dorsal scales | 24 | $135.13 \pm 0.71$ (127-139) | 40 | $135.38 \pm 0.49$ (129-139) | 23 | $132.91 \pm 0.78$ (126-141) | 41 | $133.49 \pm 0.54$ (127-142) |
| Ventral scales | 24 | $139.33 \pm 0.58$ (134-144) | 38 | $139.16 \pm 0.45$ (134-145) | 23 | $137.39 \pm 0.63$ (132-144) | 40 | $138.63 \pm 0.55$ (130-145) |
| Subcaudal scales | 12 | $150.50 \pm 2.42$ (137-164) | 22 | $149.09 \pm 1.46$ (129-160) | 11 | $140.73 \pm 2.14$ (130-153) | 17 | $140.88 \pm 1.68$ (128-154) |
| Scales around body 1 | 24 | $26.71 \pm 0.23$ (24-29) | 39 | $26.41 \pm 0.13$ (26-28) | 23 | $26.65 \pm 0.25$ (24-29) | 42 | $26.67 \pm 0.18$ 24-30) |
| Scales around body 2 | 24 | $25.50 \pm 0.17$ (24-26) | 40 | $25.40 \pm 0.16$ (24-28) | 24 | $25.46 \pm 0.26$ (23-28) | 47 | $25.34 \pm 0.17$ (24-28) |
| Scales around body 3 | 24 | $22.08 \pm 0.18$ (20-24) | 41 | $21.98 \pm 0.11$ (20-24) | 23 | $21.96 \pm 0.12$ (20-23) | 42 | $21.98 \pm 0.18$ (20-24) |
| Scales around tail | 24 | $13.33 \pm 0.20$ (12-14) | 39 | $12.97 \pm 0.16$ (12-14) | 20 | $13.20 \pm 0.21$ (12-14) | 41 | $12.93 \pm 0.15$ (12-14) |
| Anal scales | 24 | $8.29 \pm 0.14$ (8-10) | 40 | $8.15 \pm 0.08$ (7-10) | 22 | $8.23 \pm 0.13$ (8-10) | 38 | $8.18 \pm 0.08$ (8-10) |
| Supraocular scales* | 24 | $3.04 \pm 0.04$ (3-4) | 41 | $3.05 \pm 0.05$ (2-4) | 23 | $3.09 \pm 0.06$ (3-4) | 43 | $3.07 \pm 0.05$ (2-4) |
| Supralabial scales* | 24 | $8.5 \pm 0.10$ (8-9) | 36 | $8.5 \pm 0.09$ (8-10) | 21 | $8.67 \pm 0.13$ (8-10) | 37 | $8.76 \pm 0.10$ (8-10) |
| Submaxillary scales* | 24 | $3.21 \pm 0.09$ (3-4) | 41 | $3.22 \pm 0.08$ (2-4) | 22 | $3.36 \pm 0.11$ (3-4) | 42 | $3.33 \pm 0.07$ (3-4) |

## S3 Synonyms of Anguis veronensis Pollini, 1818

Junior synonyms
Anguis cinerea Risso, 1826, Hist. nat. Eur. mérid., Nice, Alp. Marit. 3, 88. Type locality: "Nizza" [= Nice], France, restricted by Mertens and Wermuth (1960).

Anguis bicolor Risso, 1826, Hist. nat. Eur. mérid., Nice, Alp. Marit. 3, 89. Type locality: "Nizza" [= Nice], France, restricted by Mertens and Wermuth (1960).

Anguis fragilis var. albiventris Bonaparte, 1837, Iconogr. Faun. ital. 2 20, -, Tab., Fig. 2b. Type locality: Italy.

Anguis fragilis var. nigriventris Bonaparte, 1837, Iconogr. Faun. ital. 2 20, -, Tab., Fig. 2a. Type locality: Italy.

Anguis fragilis var. fusca De Betta, 1857, Atti Accad. Agricolt. Verona 35, 164. Type locality: Non Valley.

Anguis fragilis var. grisea De Betta, 1857, Atti Accad. Agricolt. Verona 35, 164. Type locality: Venice and South Tyrol.

Anguis fragilis var. lineata De Betta, 1857, Atti Accad. Agricolt. Verona 35, 164. Type locality: Venice and South Tyrol.

Anguis fragilis var. vulgaris De Betta, 1857, Atti Accad. Agricolt. Verona 35, 164. Type locality: Venice and South Tyrol.

Anguis fragilis var. ocellata Dürigen, 1897, Deutschl. Amph. Rept., 223. Type locality: South Tyrol.

Anguis fragilis var. vittata Dürigen, 1897, partim (substitute name for A. bicolor Risso, 1826, A. besseri Andrzejowski, 1832 and Anguis fragilis var. lineata De Betta, 1857, unjustified), Deutschl. Amph. Rept., 223.

Anguis fragilis var. lineomaculata Dürigen, 1897 (substitute name for A. cinerea Risso, 1826, unjustified), Deutschl. Amph. Rept., 224.

Anguis fragilis var. ventrimaculata Dürigen, 1897 (substitute name for A. cinerea Risso, 1826, unjustified), Deutschl. Amph. Rept., 224.


[^0]:    * Corresponding author. Address: Department of Zoology, Faculty of Natural Sciences, Comenius University in Bratislava, Mlynska dolina B-1, 84215 Bratislava, Slovakia. Fax: + 421260296333.

    E-mail address: davidjandzik@gmail.com (D. Jandzik).

